EXHIBIT H

DENNIS McCARTHY June 12, 2008

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OAKLAND DIVISION

COPART INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CRUM & FORSTER INDEMNITY : C 07 2684 CW COMPANY, UNITED STATES FIRE : INSURANCE COMPANY, and DOES : 1-10, Inclusive,

Defendants.

UNITED STATES FIRE INSURANCE : COMPANY,

Counterclaimant,

vs.

COPART, INC.,

Counterdefendant.

: Case No.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of DENNIS McCARTHY, taken by the Plaintiff, at the offices of MERRILL LEGAL SOLUTIONS, 60 Park Avenue, Newark, New Jersey, on Thursday June 12, 2008 commencing at 9:47 a.m., before Jamie I. Moskowitz, CSR, RPR, CRR, a Certified Shorthand (Stenotype) Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New Jersey.

1	D. McCarthy
2	information in the SOV?
3	A No.
4	Q Let's talk about the training you
5	provide or your department provides concerning how
6	to do an SOV.
7	What do you tell your training
8	personnel to look for in an SOV?
9	A To look for covered property and
10	values.
11	Q And when you say covered property,
12	what do you mean?
13	A A description of the building and
14	the value placed against it. A description of the
15	contents and a value placed against it. A
16	description of the business interruption and a
17	value placed next to it.
18	Q <u>Can you tell me, in Crum & Forster's</u>
19	property policy, is there any provision that tells
20	the insured that they will not have coverage for a
21	particular location if there are certain values
22	that are not stated in an SOV?
23	MS. MILLIKAN: Objection,
24	overbroad, lacks foundation, vague and
25	ambiguous. If you can answer that

DENNIS McCARTHY

June 12, 2008

	·
1	D. McCarthy
2	question, you can go ahead.
3	A <u>I'm not able to answer tha</u> t
4	question.
5	Q Why aren't you able to answer the
6	question?
7	A Because it's vague to me.
8	Q Okay, let's get more specific, then.
9	In your experience with handling property claims
10	since 2003, since your promotion, are you aware of
11	any provision in any Crum & Forster property
12	policy that tells the insured their coverage is
13	dependent on the value stated in a Schedule of
14	<u>Values?</u>
15	MS. MILLIKAN: Same
16	objections, but if you can answer the
17	question, go ahead.
18	A I mean, I'm unable to answer that.
19	Q Why?
20	MS. MILLIKAN: Well, counsel,
21	you have asked him about every single
22	property policy ever issued by Crum &
23	Forster since 2003, and you wonder why
24	he can't answer about what the terms
25	of every policy were?

1	D. McCarthy
2	MS. PURI: You don't have to
3	coach. He can answer.
4	MS. MILLIKAN: He just told
5	you he can't answer.
6	. MS. PURI: Are you instructing
7	him not to answer my question as to
8	why?
9	MS. MILLIKAN: I'm not.
10	BY MS. PURI:
11	Q Why are you having difficulty with
12	the question about the property policy?
13	A <u>I think the policy speaks for</u>
14	itself. So you're asking me if a certain phrase
15	or clause exists in the policy
16	Q <u>Exactly</u> .
17	A that alerts a policyholder that,
18	you know, should a certain set of circumstances
19	occur, that there's no coverage and it's just too
20	vague for me.
21	Q Okay, let's look at what was
22	previously marked as Exhibit 100, and I will
23	represent to you that this is a property policy
24	that was issued by Crum & Forster to Copart for
25	2005 and 2006.

1	D. McCarthy
2	And if you want to take a moment to
3	look through it, have you seen this policy before
4	today?
5	A Well, I'm sure I read it back when a
6	decision was made to adjust the claim.
7 ·	Q Okay. And are the forms something
8	that you're sufficiently familiar with in your
9	capacity as vice president of claims?
10	A Yes.
11	Q So my question is with respect to
12	this policy: Is there any provision in this
13	policy that tells the insured they will have no
14	coverage if a value is not listed on the Schedule
15	of Values?
16	MS. MILLIKAN: Objection to
17	the question, to the extent it calls
18	for a legal conclusion, but you may
19	answer it.
20	A Bear with me. Would you like the
21	page number?
22	Q <u>I would, please.</u>
23	A POL0240.
24	Q And tell me what provision. Read it
25	to me, for the record, what you are looking at.
1	

1	D. McCarthy
2	A It is the CP0010 edition date 1000
3	and it's called the Building and Personal Property
. 4	Coverage Form.
5	Q And what provision in that form
6	specifically tells the insured he will have no
7	coverage if a value is not listed in the Statement
8	of Values?
9	A Under A: "We will pay for direct
10	physical loss of or damage to covered property at
1,1	the premises described in the declarations caused
12	by or resulting from any covered cause of loss."
13	And thereunder, under subparagraph
14	1, Covered Property it lists; building, your
15	business personal property, personal property of
16	others, okay?
17	So what it's basically telling the
18	insured, and I'm not going to recite the paragraph
19	again, it's asking the policyholder to now refer
20	to the declarations.
21	Q Okay. And where in the declarations
22	does it refer to the fact that if there is not a
23	value listed in the Schedule of Values it will not
24	be covered?
25	A Page POL0222 under Commercial

1	D. McCarthy
2	Property Coverage Part Supplemental Declarations,
3	under item 4 it says: "Building, business,
4	personal property and business income other than
5	rental."
6	And then it says under item 2: "See
7	Schedule of Locations."
8	Q And where is the Schedule of
9	Locations?
.0	A Bear with me.
.1	Q That's fine.
.2	A POL0232, Schedule of Locations, it
.3	says: "As per schedule on file with company."
4	Q Is Schedule of Locations, as far as
. 5	you know, defined anywhere in the policy?
.6	A <u>I don't believe so, but it's such a</u>
.7	common practice and so well-known I don't believe
.8	it needs to be defined.
.9	Q And on that Schedule of Locations
20	form that you're looking at in front of you, is
21	there a section called Description right above
22	where the typewritten section is?
23	A <u>It says: "Designated Locations,</u>
24	address, city, state and zip code."
25	Q So is that what's supposed to be in

	D. McCarthy
the Sch	nedule of Locations?
	A That plus the values.
	Q How would an insured know that
values	are supposed to be in the Schedule of
<u>Values</u>	based on that document that you're looking
at?	
	MS. MILLIKAN: Objection,
	calls for speculation. If you can
	answer the question, go ahead.
	A I can't I can't speak on what a
policyh	older thinks, but I certainly would hope
	e broker would explain it to the
policyh	older.
	Q And have you, in your time as VP of
claims,	explained to brokers or the insureds or
	aims personnel that explain to the broker
	ortance of what needs to go into an SOV?
	A Sure.
	Q Do you do any kind of training with
brokers	or is it more of an informal discussion
	hat needs to be done to underwrite a
policy?	-
	A I can't answer that. That's more of
an unde:	rwriting question. I'm sure that I'm
	4620 01160

DENNIS McCARTHY

June 12, 2008

	· ·
1	D. McCarthy
2	sure there's some brokers that are very
3	intelligent about the process and there could be
4	others that need to be coached or trained by our
5	underwriting department.
6	Q And does Crum & Forster write
. 7	policies directly to the policyholder?
8	In other words, can you just call up
9	an insured and say we're going to write up a
10	policy and talk terms or is it all done through a
11	broker and
12	MS. MILLIKAN: Objection to
13	the form, but if you know, you can
14	answer.
15	A <u>It's all done through a broker.</u>
16	We're not a direct writer.
17	Q And in that Exhibit 100 that I'm
18	showing you, do you see a Schedule of Values
19	anywhere in that exhibit?
20	I'll represent that that's the
21	entire policy as it has been given to us.
22	MS. MILLIKAN: Obviously the
23	document speaks for itself, and what
24	is the exhibit or isn't the exhibit
25	speaks for itself. You're into other